	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/389,428	KUHR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	LIEN TM NGO	3727
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>LIEN_TM_NGO</u> .	(3)	
(2) ROBERT NULL (Attorney).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 April 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	cant's representative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: 16,31,32,44, and 49		
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A	•	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	IERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	/AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
LEN NGO		
num		
(Application (Appl	ant/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 26, 31, 32, 44 and 49 has been indicated allowable in the previous office action. The amendment filed 3/26/04 has errors that claims 26, 31, 32, 44 and 49 are amended without all limitations of claim 22 (the base claim). Mr. Robert Null authorizes to examiner to do examiner amendment to add the limitations missing in claim 22 in the amendment in order to put the case in condition of allowance.